

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,873	ITOH, TERUAKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743	

All Participants:

 (1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

 (2) Alan Kagen (Appl. Rep.).
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 10 October 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's Representative indicated that no response to the Office action mailed on April 7, 2006 was filed, and therefore, the application is to go abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)